

On a Resampling Scheme for Empirical Copula

Hideatsu Tsukahara
(tsukahar@seijo.ac.jp)

Dept of Economics, Seijo University

September 4, 2013

Asymptotic Statistics and Related Topics:
Theories and Methodologies

Contents

1. Introduction to Copula Models
2. Empirical Copula
3. Bootstrap Approximations for Empirical Copula
4. A New Scheme by Prof. Sibuya

1. Introduction to Copula Models

Copula: a df C on $[0, 1]^d$ with uniform marginals

Sklar's Theorem

For any d -dim df F with 1-dim marginals F_1, \dots, F_d , there exists a copula C s. t.

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_d) = C(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_d(x_d)).$$

C is called a **copula associated with F** .

For continuous F , C is unique and is given by

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_d) = F(F_1^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_d^{-1}(u_d)).$$

Examples of Bivariate Copulas

1. Clayton family

$$C_{\theta}(u, v) = (u^{-\theta} + v^{-\theta} - 1)^{-1/\theta}, \quad \theta > 1$$

2. Gumbel-Hougaard family

$$C_{\theta}(u, v) = \exp \left\{ - \left[(-\log u)^{\theta} + (-\log v)^{\theta} \right]^{1/\theta} \right\}, \quad \theta \geq 1$$

3. Frank family

$$C_{\theta}(u, v) = \frac{1}{\theta} \log \left(1 + \frac{(e^{\theta u} - 1)(e^{\theta v} - 1)}{e^{\theta} - 1} \right), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}$$

4. Plackett family

$$C_{\theta}(u, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 + (\theta - 1)(u + v) - \sqrt{\{1 + (\theta - 1)(u + v)\}^2 - 4uv\theta(\theta - 1)}}{2(\theta - 1)}, & \theta > 0 \\ & \theta \neq 1 \\ uv, & \theta = 1 \end{cases}$$

5. Gaussian family

$$C_{\theta}(u, v) = \Phi_{\theta}(\Phi^{-1}(u), \Phi^{-1}(v)), \quad -1 \leq \theta \leq 1$$

where

$$\Phi_{\theta} : N\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta \\ \theta & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \text{ df}$$

and

$$\Phi : N(0, 1) \text{ df}$$

Advantages of Copula Modeling

- Better understanding of (scale-free) dependence
- Separate modeling for marginals and dependence structure in non-Gaussian multivariate distributions
- Easy simulation of multivariate random samples

Books on copulas

- R. B. Nelsen, *An Introduction to Copulas*, 2nd ed., Springer, 2006.
- H. Joe, *Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts*, Chapman & Hall, 1997.
- D. Drouot Mari and S. Kotz, *Correlation and Dependence*, Imperial College Press, 2001.

Semiparametric Estimation Problem

$$X^k = (X_1^k, \dots, X_d^k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

iid with continuous df $F = C_\theta(F_1, \dots, F_d)$

- $\{C_\theta\}_{\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^m}$: given parametric family of copulas
- Marginals F_1, \dots, F_d : unknown (nonparametric part)

► Semiparametric estimators of θ have asymptotic variances which depend on the unknown C_{θ_0} .

Goodness-of-fit Tests

$$X^k = (X_1^k, \dots, X_d^k), k = 1, \dots, n$$

iid with continuous df $F = C(F_1, \dots, F_d)$

► For a given C_0 , test $H_0: C = C_0$ vs. $H_1: C \neq C_0$

One can utilize

- Cramér-von Mises distance: $\rho_{\text{CvM}}(C, D) = \int_{[0,1]^d} [C(u) - D(u)]^2 du$
- Kolmogorov-Smirnov distance: $\rho_{\text{KS}}(C, D) = \sup_{u \in [0,1]^d} |C(u) - D(u)|$

to devise test statistics

2. Empirical Copula

$$X^k = (X_1^k, \dots, X_d^k), \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

iid with continuous df $F = C(F_1, \dots, F_d)$

$$\text{Recall } C(u_1, \dots, u_d) = F(F_1^{-1}(u_1), \dots, F_d^{-1}(u_d))$$

Definition

$$\mathbb{C}_n(u) := \mathbb{F}_n(\mathbb{F}_{n1}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \mathbb{F}_{nd}^{-1}(u_d))$$

where

$$\mathbb{F}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1^k \leq x_1, \dots, X_d^k \leq x_d\}}, \quad \mathbb{F}_{ni}(x_i) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i^k \leq x_i\}}$$

► $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}_n)$ is the same for all F whose copula is C

⇒ Enough to consider $\xi^k = (\xi_1^k, \dots, \xi_d^k) : \text{iid with df } C (k = 1, \dots, n)$

Put

$$\mathbb{G}_n(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_1^k \leq u_1, \dots, \xi_d^k \leq u_d\}}, \quad \mathbb{G}_{ni}(u_i) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\xi_i^k \leq u_i\}}$$

- $\mathbb{U}_n^C(\mathbf{u}) := \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{G}_n(\mathbf{u}) - C(\mathbf{u}))$: **Multivariate empirical process**
- $\mathbb{D}_n^C(\mathbf{u}) := \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{C}_n(\mathbf{u}) - C(\mathbf{u}))$: **Empirical copula process**

Asymptotic representation theorem

Assume C is differentiable with continuous i th partial derivatives $\partial_i C(u) := \partial C(u) / \partial u_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{D}_n^C(u) = \mathbb{U}_n^C(u) - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i C(u) \mathbb{U}_n^C(\mathbf{1}, u_i, \mathbf{1}) + R_n(u),$$

where $\sup_u |R_n(u)| = o_P(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

► With stronger conditions on C , one can show

$$\sup_u |R_n(u)| = O(n^{-1/4} (\log n)^{1/2} (\log \log n)^{1/4}), \text{ a.s.}$$

[Tsukahara (2005), with Erratum (2011)]

Proof: Write

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(u) &= \mathbb{D}_n^C - \mathbb{U}_n^C + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i C(u) \mathbb{U}_n^C(\mathbf{1}, u_i, \mathbf{1}) \\ &=: R_{1n}(u) + R_{2n}(u) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_{1n}(u) := \mathbb{U}_n^C(\mathbb{G}_{n1}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \mathbb{G}_{nd}^{-1}(u_d)) - \mathbb{U}_n^C(u)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2n}(u) &:= \sqrt{n} \left[C(\mathbb{G}_{n1}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, \mathbb{G}_{nd}^{-1}(u_d)) - C(u) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i C(\mathbb{G}_{ni}(u_i) - u_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

► $\sup_u |R_{1n}(u)| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$: Use

- Probability inequality for the oscillation of \mathbb{U}_n^C [Einmahl (1987)]

- Smirnov LIL: $\sup |\mathbb{G}_{ni}^{-1}(u) - u| = O(n^{-1/2}(\log \log n)^{1/2})$

► $\sup_u |R_{2n}(u)| \xrightarrow{P} 0$: Use

- Mean value theorem and $0 \leq \partial_i C \leq 1$ (Lipschitz continuity of C)

- Kiefer (1970):

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{u_i} \left| \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{G}_{ni}^{-1}(u_i) - u_i + \mathbb{G}_{ni}(u_i) - u_i) \right| \\ = O\left(n^{-1/4}(\log n)^{1/2}(\log \log n)^{1/4}\right) \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Weak convergence

$$\mathbb{D}_n^{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{D}^{\mathcal{C}} \text{ in } D([0, 1]^d) \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

where

$$\mathbb{D}^{\mathcal{C}}(u) := \mathbb{U}^{\mathcal{C}}(u) - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i \mathcal{C}(u) \mathbb{U}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{1}, u_i, \mathbf{1})$$

and $\mathbb{U}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is a centered Gaussian process with

$$\text{Cov}(\mathbb{U}^{\mathcal{C}}(u), \mathbb{U}^{\mathcal{C}}(v)) = \mathcal{C}(u \wedge v) - \mathcal{C}(u)\mathcal{C}(v)$$

3. Bootstrap Approximations for Empirical Copula

Define

$$\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_n(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{F}_{n1}(X_1^k) \leq u_1, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{nd}(X_d^k) \leq u_d\}}$$

Noting that

$$\mathbb{C}_n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1^k \leq \mathbb{F}_{n1}^{-1}(u_1), \dots, X_d^k \leq \mathbb{F}_{nd}^{-1}(u_d)\}},$$

one can show

$$\sup_{\mathbf{u} \in [0,1]^d} |\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_n(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbb{C}_n(\mathbf{u})| \leq \frac{d}{n}$$

(i) **Traditional Bootstrap** (Fermanian-Radulović-Wegkamp (2004))

Define

$$\mathbb{C}_n^\#(\mathbf{u}) := \mathbb{F}_n^\#(\mathbb{F}_{n1}^{\#-1}(\mathbf{u}_1), \dots, \mathbb{F}_{nd}^{\#-1}(\mathbf{u}_d))$$

where

$$\mathbb{F}_n^\#(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n W_{ni} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1^k \leq x_1, \dots, X_d^k \leq x_d\}}, \quad \mathbb{F}_{ni}^\#(x_i) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n W_{ni} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i^k \leq x_i\}}$$

$$(W_{n1}, \dots, W_{nn}) \sim \text{Multinomial}(1/n, \dots, 1/n)$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbb{C}_n^\#(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbb{C}_n) \xrightarrow[W]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

(ii) **Multiplier with Derivative Estimates** (Rémillard-Scaillet (2009))

$$\mathbb{C}_n^*(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Z_k \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{F}_{n1}(X_1^k) \leq u_1, \dots, \mathbb{F}_{nd}(X_d^k) \leq u_d\}},$$

where Z_1, \dots, Z_n : iid mean 0 and variance 1

$$\implies \beta_n := \sqrt{n}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}_n^* - \bar{Z}_n \mathbb{C}_n) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{U}^{\mathbb{C}} \text{ (unconditional)}$$

$$\widehat{\partial}_i \mathbb{C}(u) := \frac{\mathbb{C}_n(u_1, \dots, u_i + h, \dots, u_d) - \mathbb{C}_n(u_1, \dots, u_i - h, \dots, u_d)}{2h}$$

with $h := n^{-1/2}$. Then

$$\beta_n(u) - \sum_{i=1}^d \widehat{\partial}_i \mathbb{C}(u) \beta_n(\mathbf{1}, u_i, \mathbf{1}) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{D}^{\mathbb{C}} \text{ (unconditionally)}$$

(iii) **Multiplier Bootstrap** (Bücher-Dette (2010))

Define

$$\mathbb{C}_n^b(\mathbf{u}) := \mathbb{F}_n^b(\mathbb{F}_{n1}^{b-1}(\mathbf{u}_1), \dots, \mathbb{F}_{nd}^{b-1}(\mathbf{u}_d))$$

where

$$\mathbb{F}_n^b(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_1^k \leq x_1, \dots, X_d^k \leq x_d\}}, \quad \mathbb{F}_{ni}^b(x_i) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_n} \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i^k \leq x_i\}}$$

ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n : iid positive rv's with $E(\xi_i) = \mu$, $\text{Var}(\xi_i) = \tau^2 > 0$

Then

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\mu}{\tau} (\mathbb{C}_n^b(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbb{C}_n) \xrightarrow[\xi]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{D}^{\mathcal{C}}$$

4. A New Scheme by Prof. Sibuya

Let $d = 2$ for simplicity

$(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$: iid with continuous df $F(x, y) = C(F_1(x), F_2(y))$

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$R_{ni} :=$ rank of X_i among X_1, \dots, X_n

$Q_{ni} :=$ rank of Y_i among Y_1, \dots, Y_n

The vectors of ranks $(R_{n1}, Q_{n1}), \dots, (R_{nn}, Q_{nn})$ are **sufficient** for C

\Rightarrow Why don't we resample based only on $(R_{n1}, Q_{n1}), \dots, (R_{nn}, Q_{nn})$?

Let $U_1, \dots, U_n, V_1, \dots, V_n$ be independent $U(0,1)$ random variables independent of $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$, and

- $U_{1:n} < \dots < U_{n:n}$: order statistics for U_1, \dots, U_n
- $V_{1:n} < \dots < V_{n:n}$: order statistics for V_1, \dots, V_n

For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, put

$$\tilde{U}_{ni} := U_{R_{ni}:n}, \quad \tilde{V}_{ni} := V_{Q_{ni}:n}$$

One can easily see that

1. $(\tilde{U}_{n1}, \tilde{V}_{n1}), \dots, (\tilde{U}_{nn}, \tilde{V}_{nn})$ are **NOT** independent
2. $(\tilde{U}_{n1}, \tilde{V}_{n1}), \dots, (\tilde{U}_{nn}, \tilde{V}_{nn})$ are identically distributed with the distribution varying with n

- Marginal df:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{P}(\tilde{U}_{n1} \leq u) &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{P}(U_{R_{ni}:n} \leq u \mid R_{ni})] = \sum_{r=1}^n \mathbf{P}(U_{r:n} \leq u) \cdot \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \int_0^u \sum_{r=1}^n \binom{n-1}{r-1} t^{r-1} (1-t)^{n-r} dt \\
 &= \int_0^u \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1,v}(t) dt = u
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_{n,k}(t) = \binom{n}{k} t^k (1-t)^{n-k}$$

$$\implies \tilde{U}_{ni} \sim \mathbf{U}(0,1), \quad \tilde{V}_{ni} \sim \mathbf{U}(0,1) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

- **Joint df:** $H_n(u, v) := \mathbf{P}(\tilde{U}_{ni} \leq u, \tilde{V}_{ni} \leq v)$

$$\begin{aligned}
H_n(u, v) &= \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{P}(U_{R_{ni}:n} \leq u, V_{Q_{ni}:n} \leq v) \mid R_{ni}, Q_{ni}] \\
&= \sum_{r,q=1}^n \mathbf{P}(U_{r:n} \leq u) \mathbf{P}(V_{q:n} \leq v) \mathbf{P}(R_{ni} = r, Q_{ni} = q) \\
&= \int_0^u \int_0^v \sum_{r,q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \frac{n!}{(q-1)!(n-q)!} \\
&\quad t^{r-1} (1-t)^{n-r} s^{q-1} (1-s)^{n-q} \mathbf{P}(R_{ni} = r, Q_{ni} = q) dt ds \\
&=: \int_0^u \int_0^v J(s, t) dt ds
\end{aligned}$$

Let $K_n(u, v) := \mathbf{P}(R_{ni} \leq nu, Q_{ni} \leq nv)$. Then

$$\mathbf{P}(R_{ni} = r, Q_{ni} = q) = \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{r-1}{n} < \frac{R_{ni}}{n} \leq \frac{r}{n}, \frac{q-1}{n} < \frac{Q_{ni}}{n} \leq \frac{q}{n}\right)$$

$$P(R_{ni} = r, Q_{ni} = q) = \Delta_{(r-1)/n}^{r/n} \Delta_{(q-1)/n}^{q/n} K_n(u, v)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J(s, t) &= \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(q-1)!(n-q)!} s^{q-1} (1-s)^{n-q} \\ &\quad \left[\sum_{r=1}^n \frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} t^{r-1} (1-t)^{n-r} \Delta_{(r-1)/n}^{r/n} \Delta_{(q-1)/n}^{q/n} K_n(u, v) \right] \\ &= \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(q-1)!(n-q)!} s^{q-1} (1-s)^{n-q} \\ &\quad \left[\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} [rt^{r-1} (1-t)^{n-r} - (n-r)t^r (1-t)^{n-r-1}] \Delta_{(q-1)/n}^{q/n} K_n(r/n, v) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $rt^{r-1}(1-t)^{n-r} - (n-r)t^r(1-t)^{n-r-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[t^r(1-t)^{n-r}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(s, t) &= \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [t^r(1-t)^{n-r}]. \\
 &\quad \sum_{q=1}^n \frac{n!}{(q-1)!(n-q)!} s^{q-1} (1-s)^{n-q} \Delta_{(q-1)/n}^{q/n} K_n(r/n, v) \\
 &= \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [t^r(1-t)^{n-r}] \cdot \sum_{q=0}^n \binom{n}{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} [s^{q-1} (1-s)^{n-q}] K_n(r/n, q/n) \\
 &= \sum_{r, q=0}^n K_n(r/n, q/n) p'_{n,r}(t) p'_{n,q}(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$H_n(u, v) = \sum_{r, q=0}^n K_n(r/n, q/n) p_{n,r}(u) p_{n,q}(v)$$

i.e., H_n is the Bernstein polynomial of K_n of order n .

Note that

$$K_n(u, v) = \mathbf{P}(R_{ni} \leq nu, Q_{ni} \leq nv) = \mathbf{E}[\widehat{C}_n(u, v)]$$

where

$$\widehat{C}_n(u, v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{F}_{n1}(X_i) \leq u, \mathbb{F}_{n2}(Y_i) \leq v\}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{ni} \leq nu, Q_{ni} \leq nv\}}$$

We know that $\|\widehat{C}_n - C\| := \sup_{u,v} |\widehat{C}_n(u, v) - C(u, v)| \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$, and

$$\|K_n - C\| = \sup_{u,v} |\mathbf{E}[\widehat{C}_n(u, v)] - C(u, v)| \leq \mathbf{E}\|\widehat{C}_n - C\| \rightarrow 0$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_n(u, v) - C(u, v)| &\leq \sum_{r,q=0}^n |K_n(r/n, q/n) - C(r/n, q/n)| p_{n,r}(u) p_{n,q}(v) \\ &\quad + \left| \sum_{r,q=0}^n C(r/n, q/n) p_{n,r}(u) p_{n,q}(v) - C(u, v) \right| \end{aligned}$$

- 1st term on the RHS is bounded uniformly by $\|K_n - C\| \rightarrow 0$
- 2nd term on the RHS converges to 0 uniformly in (u, v)
by Bernstein's Thm

Therefore $H_n \rightarrow C$ uniformly on $[0, 1]^2$

Define empirical df based on the $(\tilde{U}_{ni}, \tilde{V}_{ni})$ by

$$\tilde{C}_n(u, v) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\tilde{U}_{ni} \leq u, \tilde{V}_{ni} \leq v\}}$$

Then

$$E[\tilde{C}_n(u, v)] = H_n(u, v) \rightarrow C(u, v) \quad \text{uniformly in } (u, v)$$

► ► What is the asymptotic behavior of

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{C}_n(u, v) - \hat{C}_n(u, v)) ?$$

Let

$$\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{U_i \leq u\}}, \quad \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{V_i \leq v\}}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_n(u, v) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathbb{G}_{1n}^{-1}(R_{ni}/n) \leq u, \mathbb{G}_{2n}^{-1}(Q_{ni}/n) \leq v\}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{R_{ni}/n \leq \mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), Q_{ni}/n \leq \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v)\}} \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_n(u, v) - \hat{\mathbb{C}}_n(u, v)) = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathbb{C}}_n(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v)) - \hat{\mathbb{C}}_n(u, v))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n}(\widehat{C}_n(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(\mathbf{v})) - \widehat{C}_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) \\
&= \sqrt{n}[\widehat{C}_n(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(\mathbf{v})) - C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(\mathbf{v}))] \\
&\quad - \sqrt{n}(\widehat{C}_n(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) + \sqrt{n}[C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(\mathbf{v})) - C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})] \\
&= [\mathbb{D}_n^C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(\mathbf{v})) - \mathbb{D}_n^C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})] + \sqrt{n}[C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(\mathbf{u}), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(\mathbf{v})) - C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})]
\end{aligned}$$

- By the asymptotic representation theorem, (1st term) $\xrightarrow{P} 0$.
- 2nd term converges in law to

$$\partial_1 C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\mathbb{U}_1(\mathbf{u}) + \partial_2 C(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\mathbb{U}_2(\mathbf{v})$$

where \mathbb{U}_1 and \mathbb{U}_2 are independent Brownian bridges on $[0, 1]$, independent of \mathbb{D}^C

What converges to \mathbb{D}^C is

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_n(u, v) - C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v)))$$

since it equals

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathbb{C}}_n(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v)) - C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v))) = \mathbb{D}_n^C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v))$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_n(u, v) - C(u, v)) &= \mathbb{D}_n^C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v)) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{n}[C(\mathbb{G}_{1n}(u), \mathbb{G}_{2n}(v)) - C(u, v)] \end{aligned}$$

Remarks

- $(\tilde{U}_{n1}, \tilde{V}_{n1}), \dots, (\tilde{U}_{nn}, \tilde{V}_{nn})$ are exchangeable rv's
- The procedure is more like smoothing empirical copula.
⇒ Is it of any use?
- It is (kind of) puzzling that the procedure (ii) (using partial derivative estimates) is reported to have performed best in Bücher-Dette (2010)'s Monte Carlo experiments.